UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,211	06/10/2000	David T. Griffiths	254/157	4801

23639 7590 11/05/2003

BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP
THREE EMBARCADERO, SUITE 1800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067

EXAMINER	
NGUYEN BA, PAUL H	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
2176	6

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRG

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/592,211	GRIFFITHS ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/10/00 .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This action is in response to communications: original application filed June 10, 2000.

2. The Office acknowledges the receipt of the following:

   a. signed oath/declaration filed on October 20, 2000 and

   b. change of address/power of attorney filed on November 13, 2002.

3. Claims 1-23 have been considered. Claims 1, 11, and 14 are independent claims.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Method and Apparatus for Aligning Items Relatively within an Electronic Document".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Visual Basic 5.0 Programmer's Guide, 1997, pgs. 5-9, 41, 546-48, 557-58, 575, Redmond, Washington 98052-6399 ("Microsoft"), in view of Kleinman, U.S. Patent No. 4,974,174.

Independent Claim 1

Microsoft discloses *a method of aligning items within an electronic document, each item having a relative tab indication, the method comprising the step of:*

a. determining the relative tab indication of the first item (see Microsoft, under heading: Understanding the Coordinate System, pgs. 557-58 "each object has a coordinate position");

b. positioning the first item within the document (see Microsoft, under heading: Displaying Print Output at a Specific Location, pg 547, "display of text on a form or picture box at a specific location"); *and,*

c. for each further item:

i. determining the relative tab indication of the item (see Microsoft, under heading: Understanding the Coordinate System, pgs. 557-58 "each object has a coordinate position");

ii. positioning the item within the document in accordance with the relative tab indication (see Microsoft, under heading: Displaying Print Output at a Specific Location, pg 547, "display of text on a form or picture box at a specific location").

Microsoft does not specifically disclose positioning the item within the document in accordance with *the position of each previously positioned item.*

Kleinman discloses a method of displaying multiple objects on a display terminal wherein each of the objects is positioned by reference to a previous object (see Kleinman column 2, lines 61-64) for the purpose of retaining the same relative aligning positions for graphic and

textual objects, although the absolute positions of the objects may have changed (see Kleinman column 6, lines 60-65).

Since Microsoft and Kleinman are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Kleinman would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Microsoft.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position subsequent items in relation to their relative tab indications, as well as, in relation to the previously positioned items for the purposes of retaining the same relative aligning positions for graphic and textual objects, although the absolute positions of the objects may have changed.

Claim 2

Microsoft further discloses *a method wherein any items with a relative tab indication greater than the tab indication of a previously positioned item are displaced from the previous item in a first direction* (see Microsoft, under heading: Displaying Print Output at a Specific Location, pgs. 547-48 "assigning higher x-coordinate value to current object displaces previous item in the first direction").

Claim 3

Microsoft discloses *a method of aligning items within an electronic document* incorporating the limitations of claim 1, but does not specifically disclose *a method wherein an item with no tab indication is displaced from the previous item in the first direction.*

Kleinman discloses a method of displaying multiple objects on a display terminal wherein each of the subsequent items (e.g. alignment point on the top left side) are positioned to the right of the previous item by reference to the previous object's alignment point (located on

top right side) (see Kleinman Figure 4; column 5, lines 7-12) for the purpose of relatively aligning the items positions (see Kleinman column 6, lines 60-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine relative tab positioning with alignment points located on each object for the purposes of relatively positioning an item with no tab indication to the right of the previous item.

Claim 4

Microsoft further discloses *a method wherein any items having a relative tab indication less than the relative tab indication of a previously positioned item are displaced from the previous item in a second direction opposite to the first direction* (see Microsoft, under heading: Displaying Print Output at a Specific Location, pgs. 547-48 "assigning lower x-coordinate value to current object displaces previous item in the second direction").

Claim 5

Microsoft further discloses *a method wherein any items having a relative tab indication less than the relative tab indication of the previously positioned item are displaced from the previously positioned item in a third direction perpendicular to the first direction* (see Microsoft, under heading: Displaying Print Output at a Specific Location, pgs. 547-48 "assigning lower x-coordinate, and higher y-coordinate value to current object displaces previous item in the third direction perpendicular to the first direction").

Claim 6

Microsoft further discloses *a method wherein any items having a relative tab indication equal to or greater than the relative tab indication of previously positioned items are aligned*

with the previously positioned items in the first direction (see Microsoft, under heading: Displaying Print Output at a Specific Location, pgs. 547-48 "assigning an equal x-coordinate, and higher y-coordinate value to the current object aligns it with the preciously positioned items in the first direction").

Claim 7

Microsoft discloses *a method of aligning items within an electronic document wherein each item has a predetermined size* (see Microsoft, Figure 3.1 on pg. 41 "[object].height or [object].diameter") incorporating the limitations of claim 1, but does not specifically disclose a method of *positioning each item so as to ensure that a predetermined distance exists between items in the first direction.*

Kleinman discloses a method of displaying multiple objects on a display terminal wherein each of the objects is positioned by reference to a previous object (see Kleinman column 2, lines 61-64) for the purpose of retaining the same relative predetermined distance for graphic and textual objects, although the absolute positions of the objects may have changed (see Kleinman column 6, lines 60-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to ensure that a predetermined distance existed between the items by assigning alignment points to each item for the purposes of retaining the same relative predetermined distance for graphic and textual objects, although the absolute positions of the objects may have changed.

Claim 8

Microsoft further discloses *a method wherein each relative tab indication includes at least one of first and second relative tab values, each relative tab value representing the relative tab position of a specific portion of the item* (see Microsoft, under heading: Moving a Line Control, pg. 575 "X1: x-coordinate of start of a line; Y1: y-coordinate of start of a line; X2: x-coordinate of end of line; Y2: y-coordinate of end of line").

Claim 9

Microsoft further discloses *a method wherein the first and second relative tab values are start and stop tab values indicating the relative position of the start and end of the item within the document* (see Microsoft, under heading: Moving a Line Control, pg. 575 "X1: x-coordinate of start of a line; Y1: y-coordinate of start of a line; X2: x-coordinate of end of line; Y2: y-coordinate of end of line").

Claim 10

Microsoft discloses *a method of aligning items within an electronic document* incorporating the limitations of claim 1, but does not specifically disclose *a method wherein the items are positioned in the first direction in accordance with the relative tab indication, and wherein the method comprises the relative positioning of the tab indication values to maintain at least a minimum separation between the items.*

Kleinman discloses a method of displaying multiple objects on a display terminal wherein each of the objects is positioned by reference to a previous object (see Kleinman column 2, lines 61-64) for the purpose of retaining the same relative aligning positions for graphic and

textual objects maintaining at least a minimum separation between the items, although the absolute positions of the objects may have changed (see Kleinman column 6, lines 60-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position subsequent items in relation to their relative tab indications, as well as, in relation to the previously positioned items for the purposes of retaining the same relative aligning positions for graphic and textual objects maintaining at least a minimum separation between the items, although the absolute positions of the objects may have changed.

Independent Claim 11

Microsoft and Kleinman disclose *an apparatus for aligning items within a document, including a display for displaying the document; and, a processor* adapted to perform the limitations of claim 1 (see Microsoft, under heading: Hardware and System Requirements, pg. 7; see Kleinman column 1, lines 9-12). Claim 11 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 12

Microsoft further discloses *an apparatus comprising a store for storing the relative tab indications of each of the items to be positioned, the processor being adapted to operate with the store to obtain the relative tab indications therefrom* (see Microsoft, under heading: Hardware and System Requirements, pg. 7).

Claim 13

Microsoft further discloses *an apparatus further comprising an input device, the input device being adapted to cooperate with the processor to allow the user to enter tab indication*

values for each item to be defined (see Microsoft, under heading: Hardware and System Requirements, pg. 7).

Independent Claim 14

Microsoft discloses *a computer program product that includes a computer-usable medium having a sequence of instructions which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to execute a process for aligning items within an electronic document, each item having a relative tab indication* (see Microsoft, pg. 5-9 "Visual Basic 5.0 program"), *the process comprising* the limitations of claim 1. Claim 14 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 15

Claim 15 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 2, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 16

Claim 16 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 17

Claim 17 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 18

Claim 18 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 19

Claim 19 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 6, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 20

Claim 20 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 7, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 21

Claim 21 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 8, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 22

Claim 22 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 9, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 23

Claim 23 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 10, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Winer	US Patent No. 5,796,401	issued on	August 18, 1998

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (703) 305-8776. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

***

JOSEPH H. FEILD
PRIMARY EXAMINER